

REMARKS

Claims 11-14, 19, and 20 were rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Gintoft, US #3,254,434. In response, said claims have been cancelled.

Claim 32 was rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Anders et al. US #4,633,215. In response, said claim has been amended with further limitations to distinguish it from Gintoft, US #3,254,434 because the present invention is meant to be unfolded when in use and folded when stored. Said claim has also been amended to distinguish the present invention over Anders' because the present invention is read horizontally as opposed to vertically.

Claims 25-27 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gintoft. In response, said claims have been canceled.


Claim 15 was rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Gintoft in view of Payan et al. US Publication 2004/0128888 A1. In response, said claim has been canceled.

In addition, claims 33-41 have been added in order to overcome previous limitations. In short, neither Gintoft nor Anders show a method of storing a folded sign, removing it from a glove compartment of a vehicle, horizontally expanding the folded sign, and placing the unfolded sign atop a vehicle, such that the unfolded sign can be read horizontally from left to right.

Any and all extensions of time are hereby requested.

Please charge all fees due and owing to Deposit Account No. 500356 in the name of Greenberg & Lieberman.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Michael L. Greenberg', with a long, sweeping underline.

Michael L. Greenberg, Esq.

Registration Number 47,312